

Article

# Asymmetric Synthesis of the Highly Potent Anti-Metastatic Prostacyclin Analogue Cicaprost and Its Isomer Isocicaprost

Marco Lerm, Hans-Joachim Gais, Kejun Cheng, and Cornelia Vermeeren

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125 (32), 9653-9667• DOI: 10.1021/ja0302001 • Publication Date (Web): 19 July 2003 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 29, 2009



# **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 4 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





# Asymmetric Synthesis of the Highly Potent Anti-Metastatic Prostacyclin Analogue Cicaprost and Its Isomer Isocicaprost

Marco Lerm, Hans-Joachim Gais,\* Kejun Cheng, and Cornelia Vermeeren

Contribution from the Institut für Organische Chemie der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Technischen Hochschule (RWTH) Aachen, Prof.-Pirlet-Str. 1, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

Received March 31, 2003; E-mail: Gais@RWTH-Aachen.de

Abstract: An asymmetric synthesis of the anti-metastatic prostacyclin analogue cicaprost and a formal one of its isomer isocicaprost by a new route are described. A key step of these syntheses is the coupling of a chiral bicyclic C6-C14 ethynyl building block with a chiral C15-C21 w-side chain amide building block with formation of the C14-C15 bond of the target molecules. A highly stereoselective reduction of the thereby obtained C6-C21 intermediate carrying a carbonyl group at C15 of the side chain was accomplished by the chiral oxazaborolidine method. The chiral phosphono acetate method was used for the highly stereoselective attachment of the  $\alpha$ -side chain to the bicyclic C6–C21 intermediate carrying a carbonyl group at C6. Asymmetric syntheses of the bicyclic C6–C14 ethynyl building blocks were carried out starting from achiral bicyclic C6-C12 ketones by using the chiral lithium amide method. In the course of these syntheses, a new method for the introduction of an ethynyl group at the  $\alpha$ -position of the carbonyl group of a ketone with formation of the corresponding homopropargylic alcohol was devised. Its key steps are an aldol reaction of the corresponding silyl enol ether with chloral and the elimination of a trichlorocarbinol derivative with formation of the ethynyl group. In addition, a new aldehyde to terminal alkyne transformation has been realized. Its key steps are the conversion of an aldehyde to the corresponding 1-alkenyl dimethylaminosulfoxonium salt and the elimination of the latter with a strong base. Two basically different routes have been followed for the synthesis of the enantiomerically pure C15-C21  $\omega$ -side chain amide building block. The first is based on the chiral oxazolidinone method and features a highly stereoselective alkylation of (4R)-N-acetyl-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one, and the second encompasses a malonate synthesis of the racemic amide and its efficient preparative scale resolution by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase containing column.

## Introduction

Prostacyclin (1) (Figure 1), which was discovered in the vascular endothelium by Vane et al.,<sup>1</sup> is the most potent endogenous vasodilator that affects both the systemic and pulmonary circulation.<sup>1–7</sup> It prevents vascular smooth muscle proliferation and inhibits blood platelet adhesion and aggregation. Prostacyclin has been shown to interfere with steps of the metastatic cascade as for example tumor cell-blood interaction and tumor cell adhesion.<sup>8,9</sup> These features make prostacyclin an attractive drug for a therapy of solid tumor metastasis<sup>9</sup> and of cardiovascular diseases, in particular of peripheral vascular obstruction, major contributors to human morbidity and mortal-

- (1) Moncada, S.; Gryglewski, R. J.; Bunting, S.; Vane, J. R. Nature 1976, 263. 633.
- (2) Prostacyclin; Vane, J. R.; Bergström, S., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, 1979
- (3) Nickolson, R. C.; Town, M. H.; Vorbrüggen, H. Med. Res. Rev. 1985, 5,
- (4) Prostacyclin and its Stable Analogue Iloprost; Gryglewski, R. J., Stock, G., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1987. (5) Collins, P. W.: Diuric, S. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1533.
- (6) Schinzer, D. in Organic Syntheses Highlights II; Waldmann, H., Ed.;
- VCH: New York, 1995; p 301. (7)Platelets and Their Factors; Bruchhausen, F.; Walter, U., Eds.; Springer-
- Verlag: Berlin, 1997. (8) Honn, K. V.; Cicone, B.; Skoff, A. Science 1981, 212, 1270.
- Schneider, M. R.; Tang, D. G.; Schirner, M.; Honn, K. V. Cancer Met. Rev. 1994, 13, 349. (9)

ity.<sup>10</sup> However, its medicinal application is severely hampered by short chemical and metabolic half-lifes, which are mainly due to the facile hydration of its enol ether moiety and the rapid enzymatic modification of both side chains. Thus, intensive efforts have been made to find stable and potent analogues in a quest for drug candidates.<sup>3,5,6</sup> These investigations led to the finding of the carbacyclin derivative iloprost  $(2)^{11,4}$  and of the prostacyclin derivative beraprost (3),<sup>12</sup> which are chemically stable and pharmacologically highly potent prostacyclin receptor agonists. Iloprost and beraprost have already been marketed as ilomedin and procycline, respectively, for the treatment of peripheral vascular diseases,<sup>13,14</sup> and both are being currently studied in clinical trails for the treatment of patients with primary pulmonary hypertension, an increasingly common and fatal disease.<sup>15,16</sup> Although beraprost and iloprost are orally active, their relatively short biological half-life and insufficient oral availability require frequent oral or invasive administration.<sup>17</sup>

- (10) Anon, N. Z. Am. J. Cardiovasc. Drugs 2002, 2, 345.
   (11) Skuballa, W.; Vorbrüggen, H. Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 1080; Skuballa, W.; Vorbrüggen, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 1046.
- (12) Wakita, H.; Yosjiwara, H.; Nishiyama, H.; Nagase, H. Heterocycles 2000, 53, 1085.

- Duthois, S.; Cailleux, N.; Levesque, H. J. Malad. Vasc. 2000, 25, 17.
   Melian, E. B.; Goa, K. L. Drugs 2002, 62, 107.
   Spiekerkoetter, E.; Fabel, H.; Hoeper, M. M. Deut. Ärztebl. 2001, 98, A2104.



Figure 1. Prostacyclin and its analogues (prostacyclin numbering).

These deficiencies of both prostacyclin analogues led to the development of the carbacyclin derivative cicaprost (4).<sup>18–22</sup> Of all of the prostacyclin analogues known, cicaprost seems to hold the most promising prospects. It is not only the most potent and prostacyclin receptor selective analogue but also has a long lasting oral activity and a high oral availability.<sup>23,24</sup> The high oral activity and metabolic stability of cicaprost are due to the special structures of its side chains. The oxygen atom of the  $\alpha$ -side chain prevents its degradation via  $\beta$ -oxidation and the two triple bonds in combination with the methyl group at C16 not only add to the metabolic stability of the molecule but also contribute to its high biological activity. These features make cicaprost an attractive candidate for example for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension at an acceptable dosing regimen. The most interesting feature of cicaprost, however, is its strong inhibitory effect in a series of spontaneously metastasizing rodent tumors upon oral administration.<sup>25-28</sup> It has been shown

(16) Olschewski, H.; Simonneau, G.; Nazzareno, G.; Higenbottam, T.; Naeije, R.; Rubin, J. R.; Nikkho, S.; Speich, R.; Hoeper, M. M.; Behr, J.; Winkler, J.; Sitbon, O.; Popov, W.; Ghofrani, H. A.; Manes, A.; Kiely, D. G.; Ewert, R.; Meyer, A.; Corris, P. A.; Delcroix, M.; Gomez-Sanchez, M.; Siedentop, H.; Seegers, W. New Engl. J. Med. 2002, 347, 322.

- (17) Saji, T.; Nakayama, T.; Ishikita, T.; Matsuura, H. Jpn. J. Clin. Med. 2001, 59, 1132
- (18) Skuballa, W.; Schillinger, E.; Stürzebecher, C. S.; Vorbrüggen, H. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 313.
- (19) Rehwinkel, H.; Skupsch, J.; Vorbrüggen, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29,
- (20) Takahashi, A.; Shibasaki, M. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1227.

- (21) Harre, M.; Trabandt, J.; Westermann, J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 1081.
  (22) Harre, M.; Nickisch, K.; Westermann, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 3123.
  (23) Stuerzebecher, C. S.; Haberey, M.; Mueller, B.; Schillinger, E.; Schroeder, G.; Skuballa, W.; Stock, G.; Vorgrüggen, H.; Witt, W. Prostaglandins 1986, 21, 05
- (24) Stuerzebecher, C. S.; Loge, O.; Schroeder, G.; Mueller, B.; Witt, W. Prog. Clin. Biol. Res. 1987, 242, 425
- (25)Schneider, M. R.; Schirner, M.; Lichtner, R. B.; Graf, H. Top. Mol. Med. **1995**, *1*, 231.

to interfere with several steps of metastasis including tumor cellplatelet interaction and the extravasation, adaptation and intravasation of tumor cells. Because cicaprost is also active on already established metastases as for example of tumors in an advanced stage of growth or those residing after surgery, it may be a candidate for an adjuvant therapy after tumor surgery.<sup>29-31</sup>

Intrigued by the exceptional potential medicinal prospects of cicaprost, we have been engaged in a program aimed at its asymmetric synthesis. Included was its isomer, the isocarbacyclin derivative isocicaprost (5),<sup>32</sup> which is expected to have a similar chemical and metabolic stability as cicaprost.33 Preliminary biological studies showed isocicaprost to be a strong inhibitor of blood platelet aggregation.<sup>32</sup> Future tests will have to show whether isocicaprost exhibits similar anti-metastatic effects as cicaprost. Surprisingly, only a few studies have been conducted directed toward the asymmetric synthesis of cicaprost and isocicaprost. Skuballa et al. disclosed in 1986 in a communication the first asymmetric synthesis of cicaprost18 and a second one was described by Shibasaki et al. in a full paper in 1988.20 Finally, a synthesis of isocicaprost had been reported by Skuballa et al. in 1990 in the patent literature.<sup>32</sup> A key feature of the syntheses of cicaprost and isocicaprost is the coupling of a chiral bicyclic C4-C13(C6-C13) building block with a chiral C14–C21  $\omega$ -side chain building block with formation of a C4-C21(C6-C21) intermediate carrying a C13-C15  $\alpha$ -bromo-enone moiety.<sup>34</sup> After reduction of the carbonyl group of these intermediates, the C13-C14 triple bond was generated by elimination of HBr. Although these syntheses are imaginative and efficient, the methods applied for joining of the two building blocks with the stepwise generation of the C13-C14 triple bond seem to be not trivial. In addition, lengthy sequences are required for the attainment of the key bicyclic C4-C13(C6-C13) building blocks in enantiomerically pure form. Thus, we were seeking asymmetric syntheses of both cicaprost and isocicaprost by a new and more concise route, which would also allow an easy access to other  $\omega$ -side chain modified derivatives. The later aspect is of particular importance because the biological activity of carbacyclin and isocarbacyclin derivatives is strongly dependent on the structure of the  $\omega$ -side chain.<sup>3,5</sup> Herein, is presented a detailed account of the investigations which led to a fully stereocontrolled asymmetric synthesis of cicaprost and isocicaprost by a new and flexible strategy which also features a new method for the introduction of an ethynyl group at  $\alpha$ -position of a carbonyl group and a new aldehyde to terminal alkyne transformation.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Retrosynthetic Analysis. A key feature of our retrosynthetic analysis of cicaprost is the coupling of a chiral bicyclic C6-

- (26) Schirner, M.; Kraus, C.; Lichtner, R. B.; Schneider. M. R.; Hildebrand, M. Prostagl. Leukotr. Ess. Fatty Acids 1998, 58, 311.
- (27)Schirner, M.; Lichtner, R.; Graf, H.; Schneider. M. R. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. **1997**, 400B, 751. Schneider, M. R.; Schirner, M.; Lichtner, R. B.; Graf, H. Breast Cancer
- (28)Res. Treat. 1996, 38, 133.
- (29)Sava, G.; Bergamo, A. Anticancer Res. 1999, 19, 1117.
- (30) Mundy, G. R. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2002, 2, 584.
  (31) Ghadimi, B. M.; Schlag, P. M. *Chirurg* 1998, 69, 1315.
  (32) Skuballa, W.; Stuerzebecher, C. S.; Thierauch, K. H. German patent DE
- 3909329 A1 1990; Chem. Abstr. 1991, 115, 28 973 (33) Kojima, K.; Amemiya, S.; Koyama, K.; Saito, S.; Oshima, T.; Ito, T. Chem.
- Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 4015. Because of clarity the prostacyclin numbering is used for cicaprost, isocicaprost and all building blocks througout this paper except in the experimental part where the numbering of the compounds follows the nomenclature rules.



Figure 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of cicaprost and isocicaprost.

C14 building block carrying a C13-C14 ethynyl group with a chiral C15-C21  $\omega$ -side chain building block with formation of the C14-C15 bond of the target molecules (Figure 2). It was hoped that this strategy would not only allow an efficient joining of the two building blocks but also provide a high degree of flexibility in regard to the synthesis of derivatives with different  $\omega$ -side chains. Thus, the retrosynthetic analysis identified the alkyne 10 as the bicyclic building block and the amide **9a**, or alternatively the aldehyde **9b**, as the  $\omega$ -side chain building block. We selected achiral bicyclic ketones 11a and 11b as starting material for the synthesis of alkyne 10 because of their ready availability from cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]-octan-2,5-dione on large scale.<sup>35–37</sup> The two ketones, which carry different func-

tionalities at C6 being equivalent to a carbonyl group, were chosen in order to provide synthetic flexibility in the crucial introduction of the ethynyl group (vide infra). The synthetic plan required an enantioselective ethynylation of ketone 11 at C12. We planned to use as key step for this transformation an enantioselective deprotonation of 11 with a chiral lithium amide with formation of the corresponding lithium enolate.37-41 Because methods for the ethynylation of lithium enolates or enol ethers at the  $\beta$ -position are scarce, <sup>42,43</sup> and most likely only ill-suited in the present case, a new method would perhaps have to be developed. The lithium acetylide of alkyne 10 should be acylated<sup>44,45</sup> with amide **9a** with formation of ketone **8** which has to be stereoselectively reduced to give, after some functional group manipulations and the establishment of the carbonyl group at C6, the protected hydroxy ketone 7. Alternatively, a stereoselective hydroxy alkylation of the lithium acetylide of 10 with aldehyde 9b can be envisaged, which could give, after similar group manipulations, more directly 7. Because the asymmetric induction provided by the substrates 8, 9, and 10 in these steps is expected to be low, a chiral reducing reagent<sup>46-48</sup> and a chiral auxiliary,49 respectively, would have to be applied. A similar situation will be faced in the attachment of the  $\alpha$ -side chain, which requires an *E*-stereoselective olefination of the carbonyl group of ketone 7. Two different methods can be envisioned for the E-stereoselective generation of the C5-C6 double bond of the target molecule. The first would involve a diastereoselective olefination of ketone 7 with a chiral phosphono acetate with formation of ester E-6, a method previously developed in our and other laboratories for the stereoselective olefination of chiral and prochiral ketones of this type.<sup>19,40,50,51</sup> The second would include a diastereoselective conversion of ketone 7 by using a chiral lithiomethyl sulfoximine to give an E-configured alkenyl sulfoximine and the subsequent replacement of the sulfoximine group by a protected hydroxymethyl group through a nickel catalyzed cross-coupling reaction, a method which we have previously developed for the stereoselective olefination of ketones of this type.<sup>52-55</sup> Particularly appropriate, however,

- (35) Bertz, S. H.; Cook, J. M.; Gawish, A.; Weiss, U. In Organic Syntheses; (36)
- Wiley: New York, 1990; Col. Vol. VII; p 50. Piers, E.; Karunaratne, V. *Can. J. Chem.* **1989**, *67*, 160. Vaulont, I.; Gais, H.-J.; Reuter N.; Schmitz, E.; Ossenkamp, R. K. L. *Eur.* (37)
- J. Org. Chem. 1998, 805. (38)Hemmerle, H.; Gais, H.-J. Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 362; Hemmerle, H.;
- Gais, H.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 349. (39)Izawa, H.; Shirai, R.; Kawasaki, H.; Kim, H.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1989**, *30*, 7221.
- (40) Gais, H.-J.; Ossenkamp, R. K. L. Liebigs Ann./Recl. 1997, 2433.
- (41) Gais, H.-J.; van Bergen, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4321
- (42) (a) Kende, A. S.; Fludzinski, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2373. (b) Kende, S.; Fludzinski, P. Synthesis 1982, 455. (c) Kende, A. S.; Fludzinski, P. (43) Arisawa, M.; Amemiya, R.; Yamaguchi, M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2209.
   (44) Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815.
- (45) For a review on the synthesis of ketones from N-methoxy-N-methylamides,
- see: Mentzel, M.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. J. prakt. Chem. 1997, 339, 517. (46) Itsuno, M. Org. React. 1998, 52, 395.
- (47) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2092; Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1998**, 37, 1986. (48) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 7511
- (49) Fässler, R.; Frantz, D. E.; Tomooka, C. S.; Carreira, D. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 373
- (50) Gais, H.-J.; Schmiedl, G.; Ball, W. A.; Bund, J.; Hellmann, G.; Erdelmeier, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1773.
- (51) Gais, H.-J.; Ossenkamp, R. K. L. *Liebigs Ann./Recl.* **1997**, 2419.
  (52) Erdelmeier, I.; Gais, H.-J.; Lindner, H. J. *Angew. Chem.* **1986**, 98, 912;
- Erdelmeier, I.; Gais, H.-J.; Lindner, H. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986. 25. 935
- (53)Gais, H.-J.; Bülow, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 461.
- (54) Gais, H.-J.; Bülow, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 465. (55)Bund, J.; Gais, H.-J.; Schmitz, E.; Erdelmeier I.; Raabe, G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1319, 9.

**Scheme 1.** Asymmetric Synthesis of the Bicyclic Alkyne 10a (R = Sit-BuPh<sub>2</sub>)<sup>*a*</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) THF, -105 °C. (II) Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl, -105 °C → -78 °C. (III) TiCl<sub>4</sub>, CCl<sub>3</sub>CHO, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C. (IV) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH, -45 °C. (V) Et<sub>3</sub>SiCl, imidazole, DMF, rt. (VI) MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl, DABCO, THF, rt. (VII) *n*-BuLi, THF, -20 °C; H<sub>2</sub>O.

for the development of a joint route to both cicaprost and isocicaprost would be the first method because a regioselective isomerization<sup>33,37</sup> of the bicyclic  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester *E*-**6** could also give, at a late stage of the synthesis, an ultimate precursor for the synthesis of isocicaprost.

Asymmetric Synthesis of the Bicyclic C6–C14 Ethynyl Building Block 10a. The enantioselective deprotonation of ketone **11a** with the LiCl containing chiral lithium amide  $12^{56}$ and the treatment of the lithium enolate 13a with ClSiMe<sub>3</sub> afforded the silyl enol ether 14 in 92% yield after column chromatography (Scheme 1).<sup>37</sup> Because 14 is slowly hydrolyzed on silica gel with formation of ketone 11a, the chromatographic separation of 14 and the amine, derived from 12, is carried out as rapidly as possible. The ee-value of 14, determined indirectly at a later stage of the synthesis (vide infra), was 94%. According to the synthetic plan, an ethynylation of 14 at the  $\beta$ -position was now required. Surprisingly, only a few methods have been described for the ethynylation of lithium enolates or enol ethers in the literature, and these methods are apparently restricted to derivatives having no protons at the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\alpha$ '-position.<sup>42,43</sup> Thus, we have devised a new stepwise method which is based on the facile elimination of trichlorocarbinol derivatives with formation of alkynes.<sup>57,58</sup> Aldol reaction of the enol ether 14

<sup>(56)</sup> For reviews on the use of this chiral base, see: (a) Cox, P. J.; Simpkins, N. S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 1 (b) Gais, H.-J. In Stereoselective Synthesis (Houben-Weyl); Helmchen, G., Hoffmann, R. W., Mulzer, J., Schaumann, Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1995; E21a, p 589. (c) Majewski, M. Adv. Asymm. Synth. 1998, 3, 39. (d) O'Brien, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 1439.



(58) Wang, Z.; Campangna, S.; Yang, K.; Xu, G.; Pierce, M. E.; Fortunak, J. M.; Confalone, P. N. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 1889.



Figure 3. Selected NOEs of 10a, 10b, and 22.

with chloral in the presence of  $TiCl_4^{59,60}$  gave ketone 15 with  $\geq$  98% de with respect to C12 and with 60% de with respect to C13. The configuration of 15 at C12 was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy at a later stage intermediate and that of C13 of the major diastereomer of 15 was tentatively assigned as depicted. Reduction of the major diastereomer of ketone 15 with NaBH<sub>4</sub> furnished the trichlorocarbinol **16** with  $\geq$  98% de with respect to C11 in 75% overall yield, based on 14, after column chromatography. As hoped for, the formation of the C12-C13 bond and the reduction of the carbonyl group both had occurred with high diastereoselectivities. Silvlation of diol 16 with Et<sub>3</sub>-SiCl selectively gave the silvl ether 17 in 79% yield together with 12% of the corresponding disilyl ether. A quantitative conversion of alcohol 17 to the mesylate 18 was achieved upon treatment with MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl and DABCO in THF.<sup>61</sup> Interestingly, the use of NEt<sub>3</sub> as base and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as solvent saw only an incomplete conversion of alcohol 17 to the mesylate. Elimination of mesylate 18 with 3.5 equiv of n-BuLi cleanly afforded alkyne 10a in 90% yield after aqueous work-up. Alkyne 10a had an ee-value 94%, which was determined indirectly at a later stage of the synthesis (vide infra). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy of alkyne 10a in combination with NOE experiments confirmed the configurations of C11 and C12. In particular, the observation of strong NOE's between 10-H $\beta$  and 12-H $\beta$  and between 11-H $\alpha$ , 8-H $\alpha$  and 9-H $\alpha$  was instrumental in the assignment of the configuration (Figure 3).

Asymmetric Synthesis of the Bicyclic C6–C14 Ethynyl Building Block 10b. Having developed an asymmetric synthesis of alkyne 10a from ketone 11a, we were interested in an asymmetric synthesis of alkyne 10b from ketone 11b (cf. Figure 2). In contrast to 10a, the alkyne 10b already contains a

(60) Mukaiyama, T. Org. React. 1982, 28, 203.

<sup>(59)</sup> Banno, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1976, 49, 2284.

<sup>(61)</sup> Hartung, J.; Hünig, S.; Kneuer, R.; Schwarz, M.; Wenner, H. Synthesis 1997, 1433.



<sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) THF, -105 °C. (II) TsCN, THF, first -105 °C and then -78 °C. (III) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH, -40 °C. (IV) t-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl, imidazole, DMF, rt. (V) DIBALH, toluene,  $-78 \text{ °C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ ; EtOH, H<sub>2</sub>O. (VI) LDA, THF, first -105 °C and then -78 °C. (VII) Aqueous NaCl, -78 °C.

protected carbonyl group at C6, which is required for the attachment of the  $\alpha$ -side chain at a later stage of the synthesis. The method used for the synthesis of alkyne 10a from ketone 11a is, however, not well-suited for the synthesis of 10b from 11b. It turned out that the acetal group of the silvl enol ether derived from **11b** is not compatible with TiCl<sub>4</sub>,<sup>37</sup> required for its aldol reaction with chloral. Thus, we envisioned a synthesis of alkyne 10b from ketone 11b via the aldehyde 24 (Scheme 2). Aldehyde 24 is an important intermediate in the synthesis of cicaprost  $(4)^{18}$  and iloprost  $(2)^{4,11}$  by Skuballa et al. Although high-yielding syntheses of aldehyde 24 from ketone 11b have been developed,<sup>62–66</sup> they all use a microbial kinetic resolution of an advanced racemic intermediate for its attainment in enantiomerically pure form. We felt that a perhaps more direct asymmetric synthesis of 24 could be developed on the basis of the enantioselective deprotonation methodology through a cyanation of the lithium enolate derived from ketone 11b in

(63) Dahl, H. German Patent DE 3816801 A1 1989; Chem. Abstr. 1990, 113, 23 512.



(65) Petzold, K.; Dahl, H.; Skuballa, W.; Gottwald, M. Liebigs Ann. 1990, 1087.
 (66) Mori, K.; Tsuji, M. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 435.



Figure 4. Structure of nitrile 21 in the crystal.

combination with a selective reduction of the cyano group to the aldehyde group at a later stage. Therefore, ketone **11b** was enantioselectively deprotonated with the LiCl containing lithium amide 12 and the lithium enolate  $13b^{37}$  was cyanated through treatment with 2 equiv of TsCN67 in THF. Crucial to the success of the transformation was the addition of 13b to the solution of the cyanating reagent. The thereby formed  $\alpha$ -cyano ketones 19 and 20 were not isolated<sup>68</sup> but reduced directly with NaBH<sub>4</sub> to furnish after chromatography a mixture of the hydroxy nitriles 22 and 21 in a ratio of 3.6:1 in 95% yield. Separation of the nitriles by column chromatography or, more efficiently, by preparative HPLC afforded nitrile 22 in 69% yield and nitrile 21 in 19% yield. According to GC analysis, the nitrile 22 had an ee-value of 92%. Hence, nitrile 21 must have also had an ee-value of 92%. Although the structure of nitrile 21 was determined by X-ray crystal structure analysis (Figure 4), the configuration of the isomeric nitrile 22 was revealed by NOE experiments. The assignment of the configuration of 22 rests on the observation of strong NOE's between 10-H $\beta$  and 12-H $\beta$ and between 11-H $\alpha$ , 8-H $\alpha$ , and 9-H $\alpha$  (cf Figure 3).

In concluding the synthesis of aldehyde 24, the alcohol 22 (92% ee) was silvlated, which gave the silvl ether 23 in 98% yield. Reduction of nitrile 23 (92% ee) with DIBALH<sup>69,70</sup> in toluene or hexane afforded aldehyde 24 with 92% ee in 85% vield after column chromatography.

To make the synthesis of aldehyde 24 from nitrile 22 more efficient, a recycling of the unwanted epimeric nitrile 21 was attempted. We envisioned a double deprotonation of 21 with formation of the dianion 25b, followed by a protonation. It was speculated that the dianion 25a formed first from 21 would isomerize to the diastereomeric dianion 25b and that its protonation would preferentially lead to 22. The structure of doubly lithiated  $\beta$ -hydroxy nitriles is not known. However, given the large contribution of the polar inductive-field effect to the stabilization of a negative charge by the cyano group,<sup>71,72</sup> it seems not unreasonable to assume that the anionic C-atoms of **25a** and **25b**<sup>73</sup> are pyramidalized and endowed with a low barrier

- (67) Kahne, D.; Collum, D. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 5011.
- (68) NMR spectroscopy of a crude mixture of 19 and 20 indicated the presence of the corresponding enol as a third equilibrium component. (69) For a review, see: Winterfeldt, E. *Synthesis* **1975**, 617.
- Hayashi, M.; Yoshiga, T.; Oguni, N. Synlett 1991, 479.
- (71) For a review, see: Bradamante, S.; Pagani, G. A. Adv. Carbanion Chem. 1996. 2. 189.
- (72) For a review, see: Boche, G. Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 286; Boche, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 277.

<sup>(62)</sup> Skuballa, W.; Dahl, H. German patent DE 3638757 A1 1988; Chem. Abstr. 1989, 110, 7940.

Synthesis of the Bicyclic Alkyne 10b from Aldehyde Scheme 3. 24 and Sulfoximine 27 ( $R = Sit-BuMe_2$ )<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) THF, −78 °C → rt. (II) n-BuLi, MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl, Et<sub>3</sub>N; DBU,  $-78 \text{ °C} \rightarrow \text{rt.}$  (III) Me<sub>3</sub>OBF<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt. (IV) LiN(H)*t*-Bu, THF, −78 °C.

toward inversion.<sup>72,74</sup> To this end, nitrile 21 was treated with 2.8 equiv of LDA followed by a protonation of dianion 25 with H<sub>2</sub>O. This delivered a mixture of nitriles 22 and 21 in a ratio of 1:1 in 90% yield. HPLC of the mixture of both nitriles afforded nitrile 22 in 37% yield and nitrile 21 in 36% yield. GC analysis showed the nitrile 22 to have an ee-value of  $\geq$  99% ee. The sample of the nitrile **21** used in the recycling experiment had been recrystallized (90% recovery) prior to its use. This measure obviously raised its ee-value to  $\geq$  99%. Therefore, the nitrile 21 obtained in the epimerization experiment also was of  $\geq$  99% ee. Under the conditions used, the dianion 25 was sufficiently stable and its elimination with formation of the unsaturated nitrile 26 (5%) could largely be suppressed. The use of other reagents for the protonation of 25 as for example methyl salicylate and MeOH saw no change of the ratio of the hydroxy nitriles.

A mild method was now required for the conversion of the aldehyde 24 to the alkyne 10b (Scheme 3), because of its propensity for  $\beta$ -elimination. Although the successive treatment of aldehyde 24 with  $CBr_4/PPh_3$  and *n*-BuLi<sup>75</sup> gave alkyne 10b in only 30% yield, that with [Ph3PCHBr2]Br/KO-tBu76 furnished the alkyne in 90% yield. However, the presence of large amounts of phosphorus compounds rendered the isolation and purification of 10b difficult. Because of our failure to achieve the desired aldehyde-alkyne conversion by other reagents including lithiotrimethylsilyldiazomethane,77 a new sulfoximine based conversion was investigated. We have recently described a facile elimination of 1-alkenyl aminosulfoxonium salts with formation of alkynes, which presumably proceeds via formation of

Asymmetric Synthesis of the  $\omega$ -Side Chain Building Scheme 4. Block 9a<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) (a) 31, NaN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, THF, -78 °C; (b) 32. -78 °C. (II) EtOH; Ti(OEt)<sub>4</sub>, reflux. (III) (a) [Me(OMe)NH<sub>2</sub>]Cl, *i*-PrMgCl, THF; (b) **34**, -19 °C; (c) HPLC.

alkylidenecarbenes.<sup>78</sup> It was tempting to see whether this elimination could be used as a key step of an alternative synthesis of alkyne 10b from aldehyde 24. Synthesis of the alkenyl sulfoximine 29 from aldehyde 24 require an addition of a lithiomethylsulfoximine to the aldehyde followed by an elimination.<sup>79</sup> To this end, aldehyde **24** was treated with the lithiomethylsulfoximine 27, which gave alcohol 28 as a mixture of diastereomers in essentially quantitative yield. As anticipated, no  $\beta$ -elimination of 24 was observed. Mesylation of alcohol 28 with MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl and NEt<sub>3</sub> and the subsequent in situ elimination of the corresponding mesylate with DBU furnished the Econfigured alkenyl sulfoximine 29 in 95% yield. Methylation of sulfoximine 29 with Me<sub>3</sub>OBF<sub>4</sub> afforded the dimethylaminosulfoxonium salt **30** in essentially quantitative yield. Finally, elimination of salt 30 with LiN(H)t-Bu gave alkyne 10b in 89% overall yield, based on 29. PhS(O)NMe<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>Nt-Bu and LiBF<sub>4</sub> were also formed, which could easily be separated. For the synthesis of **29**, the enantiomerically pure sulfoximine  $27^{80}$  was used to avoid the formation of a mixture of diastereomers of 29, which might have complicated its NMR spectroscopic analysis. Although it has not been investigated, the conversion of 24 into alkyne 10b should also be possible by using *rac*-27. According to GC analysis, alkyne 10b had an ee-value of 92%. The configurations of C11 and C12 of alkyne 10b were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy in combination with NOE experiments. Instrumental for the assignment of the configurations were the observation of strong NOEs between 10-H $\beta$  and 12-H $\beta$ , and between 11-H $\alpha$ , 8-H $\alpha$  and 9-H $\alpha$  (cf Figure 3).

Synthesis of the C15-C21 Amide Building Block. Asymmetric Synthesis. With the synthesis of the two key bicyclic building blocks accomplished, we opted for the acylationreduction route for the synthesis of the alcohol 7 (cf. Figure 2). This route requires the enantiomerically pure amide 9a as the  $\omega$ -side chain building block. A precursor for amide **9a** is the ester  $34^{48}$  (Scheme 4) which had been synthesized previously by the following routes: (1) from methyl (S)-3-hydroxy-2methyl propionate and 1-bromobutyne,48 (2) through pent-2-

<sup>(73)</sup> For a recent computational study of the position of metalation of lithiated nitriles, see: Carlier, P. R.; Madura, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3832.
(74) Boehme, W. R.; Schipper, E.; Scharpf, W. G.; Nichols, J. J. Am. Chem. Computational study of the sectors of the

Soc. 1958, 80, 5488. (75) Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 3769.

<sup>(76)</sup> Michel, P.; Rassat, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8575.

<sup>(77) (</sup>a) Ohira, S.; Okai, K.; Moritani, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 721. (b) Conversion of 24 to 10b with dimethyl (diazomethy)phosphonate (Müller, S.; Liepold, B.; Roth, G. J.; Bestmann, H. J. Synlett 1996, 521) was not studied.

<sup>(78)</sup> Gais, H.-J.; Reddy, L. R.; Woo, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10 427.

<sup>(79)</sup> Gais, H.-J.; Hainz, R.; Müller, H.; Bruns, P. R.; Giesen, N.; Raabe, G.; Runsink, J.; Nienstedt, S.; Decker, J.; Schleusner, M.; Hachtel, J.; Loo, R.; Woo, C.-W.; Das, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 3973.
 (80) Gais, H.-J.; Brandt, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 909.

ynylation of a chiral iron acetyl complex<sup>81</sup> and of (4R,5S)-Nacetyl-4-methyl-5-phenyl-oxazolidin-2-one,<sup>21</sup> and (3) by resolution.<sup>18</sup> These routes, however, are not very practical either because of nontrivial steps, moderate yields and selectivities or lengthy sequences. Nevertheless, the oxazolidinone route seemed to hold the most prospects for the development of a more efficient synthesis of 34. The benzyl substituted oxazolidinone 31<sup>82a</sup> was selected in anticipation<sup>82b</sup> of a higher selectivity and yield. Deprotonation of oxazolidinone 31 with NaN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and treatment of the resulting sodium enolate with iodide 32, prepared from commercially available 2-pentyne-1-ol,<sup>21</sup> gave the substituted oxazolidinone 33 with 97% de in 93% yield. Thus, pent-2-ynylation of **31** proceeded much more efficiently than that of N-acetyl-4-methyl-5-phenyl-oxazolidin-2-one, which proceeded with only 80% de and 62% yield.<sup>21</sup> At this stage of the synthesis of the 9a, the 1.5% of the unwanted diastereoisomer of 33 could neither be separated by HPLC nor by crystallization. Treatment of oxazolidinone 33 with Ti(OEt)<sub>4</sub> in refluxing EtOH gave ester 34 with 97% ee (GC) in 81% yield. Finally, amidation of ester 34 with MeO(Me)NMgCl, prepared in situ from [MeO(Me)NH2]Cl and 2 equiv of *i*-PrMgCl in THF,<sup>83,84</sup> afforded amide **9a** in 92% yield. HPLC analysis showed the amide to have an ee-value of 97%. Preparative HPLC of amide 9a (10 g) with 97% ee on a Daicel Chiralcel AD column (250  $\times$  50 mm) allowed the ready separation of ent-9a (900 mg of 9a per injection) and furnished amide **9a** with  $\geq$  99% ee in 90% yield.

Symmetric Synthesis and Chromatographic Resolution. Although amide 9a could be efficiently synthesized from oxazolidinone 31 and iodide 32, all three steps required carefully defined reaction conditions to achieve a high diastereoselectivity and to avoid a partial racemization. The facile preparative scale separation of 9a and ent-9a by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase containing column led us to consider, as an alternative, the attainment of 9a through synthesis of the racemic amide rac-9a and its chromatographic resolution. The racemic ester rac-34 was efficiently prepared by malonate synthesis (Scheme 5).85 Thus, deprotonation of malonate 35 with 1.05 equiv of LDA and treatment of the resulting lithium enolate with iodide 32 (1.3 equiv) afforded the substituted malonate 36 in 91% yield. Deethoxycarbonylation<sup>86</sup> of malonate 36 with LiCl in DMSO and water furnished the racemic ester rac-34 in 75% yield. Finally, amidation of rac-34 with MeO(Me)NMgCl gave amide rac-9a in 92% yield. The separation of rac-9a on a 4.2 g scale by HPLC on a Daicel Chiralcel AD column (250  $\times$  50 mm) readily (750 mg of *rac*-9a per injection) afforded 9a with  $\geq$ 99% ee in 47% yield and *ent*-**9a** with  $\geq$  99% ee in 47% yield. Interestingly, a HPLC separation of ester rac-34 by using this chiral stationary phase failed.

Synthesis of the C6–C21 Ketone Intermediate. Coupling of the C6-C14 Alkyne 10a With the C15-C21 Amide. With the building blocks 9a, 10a, and 10b in hand, their coupling was required next. Special attention had to be paid to the

- (81) Bodwell, G. J.; Davies, S. G. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1991**, 2, 1075.
  (82) (a) Evans, D. A.; DiMare, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 2476. (b) Evans, D. A.; Ennis, M. D.; Mahtre, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 1737
- (83) Levin, J. I.; Turos, E.; Weinreb, S. M. Synth. Commun. 1982, 12, 989.
  (84) Williams, J. M.; Jobson, R. B.; Yasuda, N.; Marchesini, G.; Dolling, U.
- H.; Grabowski, E. J. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5461.
- (85)The malonate synthesis of the racemic acid was cursory described in a footnote of ref 18
- (86) Krapcho, A. P.; Gadamasetti, G. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1880.





<sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) (a) LDA, THF, -78 °C; (b) 32. (II) LiCl, DMSO, H<sub>2</sub>O, reflux. (III) [Me(OMe)NH<sub>2</sub>]Cl, *i*-PrMgCl, THF -19 °C. (IV) HPLC.

Scheme 6. Coupling of the Bicyclic Building Block 10a with the  $\omega$ -Side Chain Building Block **9a** (R<sup>1</sup> = Sit-BuPh<sub>2</sub>)<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) (a) **10a**, *n*-BuLi, THF,  $-78 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 0 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; (b) 9a, -19 °C. (II) Catecholborane, 37, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C. (III) Aqueous HCl, THF, rt. (IV) (a) PhCOCl, pyridine,  $0 \circ C \rightarrow rt$ ; (b) NBu<sub>4</sub>F, THF, rt. (V) DMSO, (COCl)<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -60 °C. (VI) NaOH, MeOH, rt.

potential configurational lability of C16 of ketone 8a (cf. Figure 2) in the presence of a base. Coupling<sup>44</sup> of the alkyne 10a with the amide 9a was thus carried out as follows (Scheme 6). Alkyne 10a in THF was treated at  $-78^{\circ}$ C with 1 equiv of *n*-BuLi in hexane and the solution was warmed to room temperature to ensure a complete deprotonation of the alkyne. The solution

### ARTICLES

was then cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C and transferred via cannula to a  $-19^{\circ}$ C cold solution of 1.15 equiv of amide **9a** with  $\geq 99\%$  ee in THF. Quenching of the reaction mixture after 2 h with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and purification by chromatography furnished ketone **8a** in 92% yield. Alkyne **10a** was recovered in 8% yield. Under these conditions formation of the C16 epimer of **8a** could be completely avoided.

It was to be expected that a highly diastereoselective reduction of ketone 8a with an achiral reducing reagent with formation of the (S)-configured alcohol 38 would be difficult to achieve (vide infra). Thus, a chiral reducing reagent had to be applied and we selected the oxazaborolidine-catalyzed reduction with a borane.46,47 This method had already been successfully employed for a highly diastereoselective reduction of a structurally related ketone carrying a bulky silyl group instead of the bicyclooctanyl group.<sup>47</sup> Because it has been reported that the enantioselectivity of oxazaborolidine-catalyzed reduction of ketones with boranes can critically be dependent on the purity of the catalyst, the pure oxazaborolidine 37 was prepared from *n*-butylboroxine and 1 equiv of (R)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol in refluxing C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.<sup>87</sup> The reduction of ketone 8a was carried out through addition of catecholborane to a mixture of the ketone and 20 to 30 mol % of 37 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -78 °C. Thereby, the alcohol 38 could be reproducibly isolated with  $\geq$  99% de in regard to C15 in 88% yield after column chromatography. The selectivity of the reduction was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. For comparison purposes a 1:1 mixture of 38 and its 15R-diastereomer was prepared through reduction of ketone 8a with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in EtOH at -40 °C. The use of wet EtOH as a quenching reagent in the reduction of ketone 8a produced a partial desilvlation of the silvl ether 38 with formation of diol 39 in 77% yield and 38 in 18% yield. Desilylation of the disilyl ether 38 with diluted aqueous HCl in THF also selectively afforded diol 39. Diol 39 was isolated in 93% overall yield based on 8a. To establish a carbonyl group at C6 of **39** the diol was dibenzoylated and the corresponding dibenzoate was deprotected at the silvloxy group through treatment with NBu<sub>4</sub>F, which gave the alcohol 40 in 86% overall yield, based on 38. Oxidation of alcohol 40 with DMSO, (COCl)<sub>2</sub> and NEt<sub>3</sub><sup>88</sup> afforded ketone **41** in 89% yield. Finally, hydrolysis of dibenzoate 41 with 1 N NaOH in MeOH furnished the dihydroxy ketone  $7a^{18}$  in 90% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy of 8a, 40, and 41 revealed the admixture of approximately 3% of the respective diastereomers, having the opposite configurations at C6, C8, C9, C11, and C12 (Supporting Information, Scheme S10), which are derived from ent-10a. This result shows that the starting alkyne 10a had an ee-value of 94%. An unequivocal <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic assignment of the minor diastereomer of ketone 8a and thus of the minor diastereomers of 38, 39, 40, 41, and 7a was made possible by the synthesis of the enantiomer of the minor diastereomer of 8a through coupling of alkyne 10a of 94% ee with the enantiomeric amide ent-9a of  $\geq$  99% ee (Supporting Information, Scheme S11).

Coupling of the C6–C14 Alkyne 10b With the C15–C21 Amide. In keeping with the strategy outlined in Figure 2, we wanted to evaluate whether the acetal protected alkyne 10b Scheme 7. Coupling of the Bicyclic Building Block 10b with the  $\omega$ -Side Chain Building Block 9a (R<sup>1</sup> = Sit-BuMe<sub>2</sub>)<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) (a) **10b**, *n*-BuLi, THF,  $-78 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ ; (b) **9a**, THF,  $-19 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . (II) Catecholborane, **37**, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-78 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , HPLC. (III) Acetone, TsOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, rt. (IV) *t*-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl, imidazole, DMF, rt.

could serve as the starting material for a more concise synthesis of diol **7a** (Scheme 7). To this end, the two building blocks **9a** and **10b** were coupled by following the same procedure used in the synthesis of ketone **8a**. Thus, the lithium acetylide derived from **10b** with 92% ee was treated with 1.15 equiv of amide **9a** ( $\geq$  99% ee) in THF at -19 °C, and the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl at this temperature. Thereby, ketone **8b** was obtained in 92% yield after chromatography. As in the case of the synthesis of ketone **8a**, the reaction temperature had to be kept at -19 °C to avoid a partial epimerization at C16 and, at the same time, to provide for a sufficiently high reaction rate. According to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy at higher temperatures the coupling step was accompanied by a partial epimerization at C16.

The reduction of ketone 8b with catecholborane in the presence of 9 mol % of 37 in toluene or CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -78 °C gave alcohol 42 with 95% de in regard to C15 in 98% yield. Alcohol 42 contained 2.5% of its 15R-diastereomer and 4% of the diastereomer having the opposite configurations at C8, C9, C11, and C12 (Supporting Information, Scheme S12), which arose from the use of alkyne 10b with 92% ee as starting material. All three diastereomers were readily detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Preparative HPLC of 42 at a 5 g scale on a Daicel Chiralcel OD column ( $250 \times 20$  mm) allowed for the ready separation of the two minor diastereomers and gave alcohol 42 as a single diastereomer ( $\geq 99\%$  de) in 90% yield. Treatment of acetal 42 with TsOH in acetone resulted in a cleavage of all three protecting groups and afforded the dihydroxy ketone 7a which upon silvlation with t-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl furnished the disilyl ether  $7b^{18}$  in 95% overall yield, based on **42**.

Assignment of the Configuration at C15. Crucial to the synthesis of cicaprost and isocicaprost was the determination of the configuration of the alcohols 38 and 42. NMR spectroscopy had revealed the identity of ketone 7a obtained by the

<sup>(87) (</sup>a) Mathre, D. J.; Jones, T. K.; Xavier, L. C.; Blacklock, T. J.; Reamer, R. A.; Mohan, J. J.; Jones, E. T. T.; Hoogsteen, K.; Baum, M. W.; Grabowski, E. J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 751. (b) Jones; T. K.; Mohan, J. J.; Xavier, L. C.; Blacklock, T. J.; Mathre, D. J.; Sohar, P.; Jones, E. T. T.; Reamer, R. A.; Roberts, F. E.; Grabowski, E. J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 763.
(88) Omura, K.; Swern, D. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1651.



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) THF, first 6 d, at -62 °C then 15 h at -30 °C and finally 30 min at room temperature. (II) DIBALH, THF, 0 °C, HPLC. (III) NBu<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>, 50%, NaOH, BrCH<sub>2</sub>COO*t*Bu, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt. (IV) NBu<sub>4</sub>F, THF, rt. (V) NaOH, MeOH, rt.

two different routes depicted in Schemes 6 and 7. Alcohols **38** and **42** were assigned the 15*S*-configuration in agreement with the reduction of similar ynones with catecholborane/**37** and on the basis of its NMR data in comparison with those of a reference sample.<sup>46–48</sup>

Attachment of the  $\alpha$ -Side Chain and Completion of the Synthesis of Cicaprost. Continuation of the synthetic plan called for a diastereoselective conversion of ketone **7b** to the *E*-configured  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester *E*-**6** (Scheme 8). Although ketone **7b** is chiral, the asymmetric induction it provides in diastereoselective olefination reactions with achiral phosphono acetates is low. Thus, the situation encountered in the olefination of **7b** may be compared to that of the enantioselective olefination of the achiral ketones **11a** and **11b**. We and others had previously introduced the dimethylphosphono acetates derived from 8-phenylmenthol, 8-phenylneomenthol, and 8-phenylnor-

menthol to solve stereoselectivity problems of this type.<sup>18,37,50,51</sup> We selected for the present case the dimethylphosphono acetate derived from (1S,2R)-8-phenylnormenthol because of the ready availability of this alcohol in enantiomerically pure form.<sup>89</sup> Treatment of ketone **7b** ( $\geq$  99% de) with 4.5 equiv of the lithium salt  $43^{37}$  in THF first at -62 °C for 6 d, then at -30 °C for 15 h, and finally at room temperature for 30 min gave a mixture of the diastereomeric esters E-6 and Z-6 in a ratio of 95:5 in 99% yield after aqueous work up and column chromatography. Although the E- and Z-configured esters could be readily separated by preparative HPLC (vide infra), the mixture of the esters E-6 and Z-6 was reduced with DIBALH in THF to give a 95:5 mixture of the allylic alcohols E-44 and Z-44.<sup>18</sup> Separation of the allylic alcohols by column chromatography or, more efficiently, by preparative HPLC afforded the allylic alcohol E-44 in 84% total yield, based on E-6. 8-Phenylnormenthol was recovered in 86% yield. It is important to note that during the separation of the allylic alcohols the minor diastereomeric allylic alcohols resulting from the use of the alkynes 10a and 10b with only 92% ee and 94% ee, respectively, were also separated (Supporting Information, Scheme S13). The E-configuration of the double bond of E-44 was secured by NOE experiments, which revealed strong NOEs between 6a-H and 4-H and between 5-H and 7-H. The synthesis of cicaprost was completed following the route previously described.<sup>18,20,37</sup> Thus, etherification of alcohol E-44 ( $\geq$  99% de) through treatment with excess BrCH<sub>2</sub>COOt-Bu under phase transfer conditions in the presence of 50% aqueous NaOH and the subsequent desilvlation of the corresponding disilvl ether with Bu<sub>4</sub>NF gave the dihydroxy ester 45 in 91% total yield, based on E-44. Finally, the hydrolysis of ester 45 with NaOH in MeOH furnished cicaprost (4) with  $\geq$  99% de and  $\geq$  99% ee in 94% yield.

Formal Asymmetric Synthesis of Isocicaprost. The synthetic plan also called for a synthesis of isocicaprost (5) from ester E-6 (Scheme 9). This first required a regioselective shift of the double bond of E-6 with formation of the isomeric ester 47. On the basis of previous results with the isomerization of an ester carrying a different  $\omega$ -side chain, we envisioned a regioselective deprotonation of ester E-6 with amide 12 resulting in the selective formation of the lithium enolate 46 followed by a regioselective protonation at the  $\alpha$ -position.<sup>37</sup> Deprotonation of the *E*-configured ester *E*-6 ( $\geq$  99% de) with 2 equiv of 12 in THF at -105 °C gave the lithium enolate 46 whose protonation with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> at -78 °C afforded the  $\beta$ , $\gamma$ -unsaturated ester 47 with  $\geq$  99% de (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) in 98% yield after chromatography. Although a chiral base was used for the deprotonation of E-6, previous results with the deprotonation of a similar esters suggest that an achiral lithium amide could be used as well.<sup>37,90,91</sup> Deprotonation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated esters including E-6 with lithium amides is perhaps an intramolecular process following the coordination of the lithium amide to the carbonyl O-atom of the ester group.<sup>92–94</sup> Reduction of ester 47 with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in THF afforded alcohol 48 in an nonoptimized yield of 59%. Since the conversion of alcohol 48 to isocicaprost

- 1. 347.
- (91) Brandt, U. Ph.D. Theses, RWTH Aachen 1997.
- (92) Rathke, M. W.; Sullivan, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 4249.
- (93) Herrmann, J. L.; Kieczykowski, R.; Schlessinger, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973 2433
- (94) Harris, F. L.; Weiler, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1333.

<sup>(89)</sup> Commins, D. L.; Salvador, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4656.
(90) Duhamel, L.; Ravard, A.; Plaquevent, J.-C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1990,



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (I) THF, -105 °C. (II) Aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, -78 °C. (III) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, -20 °C, HPLC.

(5) by the same procedure used for the synthesis of cicaprost from alcohol *E*-44 had already been described,<sup>32</sup> the attainment of 48 represents a formal asymmetric synthesis of isocicaprost.

#### Conclusion

In this article, we report an asymmetric synthesis of cicaprost from the achiral bicyclic ketones 11a (15 steps, 19% yield) and 11b (11 steps, 22% yield) and the amide 9a. Ketones 11a (3 steps, 70%) and 11b (2 steps 90%) are accessible from commercially available bicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2,5-dione on large scale. The same route leading to cicaprost also allowed diversion of a late stage intermediate to achieve a formal asymmetric synthesis of isocicaprost. The key step of these syntheses is the coupling of a bicyclic C6-C14 building block carrying a C13-C14 ethynyl group with a C15–C21 amide building block. It is this step, which should also permit the synthesis of further  $\omega$ -side chain modified analogues of cicaprost and isocicaprost. Because of the particular structures of the key intermediates, chiral reagents had to be employed for the diastereoselective reduction of the C15 carbonyl group and the diastereoselective olefination of the C6 carbonyl group. This was accomplished with high stereoselectivities by using the chiral oxazaborolidine/ borane and chiral phosphono acetate methods. In the course of the asymmetric synthesis of alkyne **10a** from the silvl enol ether 14, we have devised a new route for the introduction of an ethynyl group at the  $\alpha$ -position of a ketone with formation of the corresponding homopropargylic alcohol. Preliminary results with other ketones by using an acetal protection of the carbonyl group give hope that this sequence can be developed into a

general method.<sup>95</sup> Whether the same holds true for the new sulfoximine based conversion of an aldehyde to a terminal alkyne, used in the synthesis of alkyne **10b** from aldehyde **24**, remains to be shown.

The  $\omega$ -side chain building block **9a** was synthesized by two different routes. The first utilizes the chiral oxazolidinone method for the generation of the stereogenic center of the target molecule and the second relies on a malonate synthesis of the racemate and a chromatographic resolution. In our view, the malonate-resolution route to **9a**, if combined with a racemization of *ent*-**9a**, should offer some advantages over the asymmetric synthesis because of the problems associated with the one stereogenic center in  $\alpha$ -position to the carbonyl group.

## **Experimental Section**

General. All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere in absolute solvents with syringe and Schlenk techniques in oven-dried glassware. THF and Et2O were distilled under argon from lead/sodium in the presence of benzophenone, and CH2Cl2 was distilled from CaH2. Bulk solvents for column chromatography and extraction were distilled prior to use. Reagents and DMF including catecholborane were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. n-BuLi was standardized by titration with diphenylacetic acid. (*R*,*R*)-Bis-(phenylethyl)amine hydrochloride<sup>96</sup> with  $\geq$  99% ee and (1S,2R)-8-phenylnormenthol<sup>89</sup> with  $\geq$  98% ee were prepared according to the literature. Oxazaborolidine 37 was prepared from (R)- $\alpha, \alpha$ -diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol and 0.33 equiv of pure *n*-butylboroxine (NMR) in refluxing C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (7 d) by using a Dean-Stark trap whose sidearm contained activated molecular sieve.87 According to NMR spectroscopy the thus prepared sample of 37 was free of the starting materials. TLC was performed on E. Merck precoated plates (silica gel 60 F254, layer thickness 0.2 mm), and column chromatography was performed with E. Merck silica gel 60 (0.040-0.063 mm) in the flash mode with a nitrogen pressure of 0.2 to 1.0 bar. HPLC was carried out with a Dynamax SD-1 pump by using Varian 320 UV/VIS and Knauer RI detectors. GC analyses were run on Varian 3800, Chrompack CP-9000 and Carlo Erba Mega instruments. Melting points were determined with a Büchi 535 apparatus and are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR 300, a Varian Mercury 300, a Varian Inova 400 or a Varian Unity 500 instrument. Chemical shifts are reported relative to TMS ( $\delta$  0.00 ppm) as internal standard. The following abbreviations are used to designate the multiplicity of the peaks in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad and combinations thereof. Peaks in the 13C NMR spectra are denoted as "u" for carbons with zero or two attached protons or as "d" for carbons with one or three attached protons, as determined from the APT pulse sequence. Assignments in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were made by GMQCOSY, GNOE, and HETCOR experiments and those in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were made by DEPT experiments. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer PE 1759 FT instrument. Only peaks of  $\nu > 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are listed, s = strong, m = medium, w = weak. Low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Varian MAT 212 mass spectrometer using either electron impact ionization (EI, 70 eV) or chemical ionization (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>, isobutane or NH<sub>3</sub>). Only peaks of m/z > 80 and an intensity > 10%, except decisive ones, are listed. High resolution mass spectra were recorded either on a Varian MAT 95 mass spectrometer or on a Micromass LCT Spectrometer (ESI, TOF). Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer model 241 polarimeter at approximately 22 °C. Specific rotations are in grad  $mL/dm \cdot g$ , and c is in g/100 mL. Elemental analyses were performed by the Institut für Organische Chemie Microanalytical Laboratory.

<sup>(95)</sup> Gais, H.-J.; Lerm, M., unpublished results.

<sup>(96)</sup> Overberger, C. G.; Marullo, N. P.; Hiskey, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 1374.

(−)-(1*S*,3a*S*,6a*S*,5*S*)-5-(*tert*-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-1-(2,2,2-trichloro-1-hydroxy-ethyl)-hexahydro-pentalen-2one (15). To a solution of chloral (790 mg, 5.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added TiCl<sub>4</sub> (0.55 mL, 5.0 mmol) at ambient temperature, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 5 min. Then the mixture was cooled to −78 °C, and a solution of the silyl enol ether 14 (1.8 g, 4.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was added dropwise. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h at −78 °C, saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) was added and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1) afforded ketone 15 (1.58 g, 75%) with ≥ 98% de as a colorless solid and 380 mg of a 7:3 mixture of 13-*epi*-15 and ketone 11a.

**15:**  $R_f 0.20$  (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1); mp 87 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = -15.8$  (c 1.25, Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.03 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.62 (m, 1 H), 1.85-2.05 (m, 3 H), 2.53-2.74 (m, 3 H), 3.24-3.34 (m, 2 H), 3.71 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.40 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 4.77 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 7.32-7.45 (m, 6 H, Ph), 7.60-7.65 (m, 4 H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 18.82 (u), 26.80 (d), 36.10 (d), 37.31 (d), 42.88 (u), 43.22 (u), 45.45 (u), 56.87 (d), 76.66 (d), 81.27 (d), 102.71 (u), 127.35 (d), 129.41 (d), 133.42 (u), 133.50 (u), 135.50 (d), 219.90 (u); IR (KBr): v 3348 (s), 3071 (m), 3047 (m), 3012 (w), 2957 (s), 2932 (s), 2901 (m), 2857 (s), 1724 (s), 1471 (m), 1428 (m), 1386 (m), 1360 (w), 1309 (w), 1252 (w), 1177 (m), 1107 (s), 1020 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity, %): 471 (M<sup>+</sup> - HOCl, 37), 470 (28), 469 (100), 468 (27), 467 (95), 417 (14), 416 (18), 415 (64), 414 (28), 413 (99), 377 (22), 237 (11), 235 (57), 234 (10), 233 (81), 219 (16), 217 (42), 200 (15), 199 (84), 197 (39), 193 (10), 191 (14), 183 (16), 181 (31), 179 (18), 175 (11), 173 (17), 157 (22), 155 (25), 153 (17), 151 (13), 139 (14), 136 (11), 135 (23), 121 (11), 105 (23), 91 (11), 81 (26). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>31</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si (525.97): C, 59.37; H, 5.94. Found: C, 59.40; H, 5.80.

**13-epi-15:**  $R_f$  0.50 (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1) (together with **11a**) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) (partial data):  $\delta$  2.22–2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.70–2.78 (m, 1 H), 3.19 (m, 1 H), 4.12 (dd, J = 8.5, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.40 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 5.18 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, OH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  19.06 (u), 27.07 (d), 35.56 (d), 41.34 (u), 43.01 (u), 45.02 (d), 45.78 (u), 54.73 (d); 76.87 (d), 84.42 (d), 102.90 (u), 127.73 (d), 129.82 (d), 133.67 (u), 133.73 (u), 135.80 (d), 135.85 (d), 219.93 (u)

(1R,2R,3aR,5S,6aS)-5-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-1-(2,2,2trichloro-1-hydroxy-ethyl)-octahydropentalen-2-ol (16): To a solution of chloral (14.4 g, 97.7 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (200 mL) was added TiCl<sub>4</sub> (9 mL, 82.1 mmol) at ambient temperature. After stirring the mixture at ambient temperature for 5 min, it was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of the silyl enol ether 14 (10 g, 22.2 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added within 2 min. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h at -78 °C, saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (200 mL) was added and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the residue was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O (200 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 100$  mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue containing 15 was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of Et<sub>2</sub>O/EtOH (500 mL) and the solution was cooled to -45 °C. Then NaBH<sub>4</sub> (6.1 g, 161 mmol) was added and the suspension was stirred for 16 h at -45 °C. After the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature, it was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O (400 mL). The solution was washed with water (300 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:1) provided diol 16 (8.84 g, 75%) as a colorless, sticky wax.  $R_f$  0.45 (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D$  -19.8 (c 0.65, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.06 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.58-1.90 (m, 5 H), 2.12-2.38 (m, 3 H), 2.50-2.65 (m, 2 H), 3.97 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CH(OH)CCl<sub>3</sub>), 4.02 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 4.27 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 4.40 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCCl<sub>3</sub>), 7.31–7.44 (m, 6 H, Ph), 7.62–7.68 (m, 4 H, Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  19.00 (u), 26.96 (d), 36.25 (d), 37.95 (d), 41.03 (u), 41.24 (u), 43.08 (u), 55.22 (d), 76.64 (d), 77.06 (d), 81.11 (d), 103.54 (u), 127.28 (d), 129.30 (d) 129.33 (d), 133.77 (u), 133.88 (u), 135.53 (d); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\nu$  3623 (w), 3444 (w), 3017 (s), 2929 (s), 2857 (m), 1223 (s), 1209 (s), 1107 (m), 1022 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, isobutane) m/z (relative intensity, %): 531 (34), 530 (33), 529 (100), 528 (36), 527 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 97), 495 (15), 493 (30), 491 (14), 456 (11), 99 (20). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>33</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si (527.98): C, 59.15; H, 6.30. Found: C, 58.81; H, 6.04.

(-)-(1S,2R,3aR,5S,6aS)-5-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-1-ethynyl-2-(triethyl-silanyloxy)-octahydro-pentalene (10a): To a solution of mesylate 18 (450 mg, 0.59 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was added n-BuLi (1.5 mL, 1.6 M in hexanes, 2.4 mmol) dropwise at -20 °C. After the mixture was stirred at -20 °C for 20 min, it was warmed to ambient temperature. Then the mixture was stirred for 60 min, and saturated aqueous NaCl (0.25 mL) was added. The mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (25 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1:25) afforded alkyne 10a (281 mg, 90%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f 0.60$  (hexanes/EtOAc, 10:1);  $[\alpha]_D$ -11.4 (c 0.77, Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 0.65-0.75 (dq, J = 8.0, J = 4.5 Hz, 6 H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.09 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9 H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.18 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.50-1.73 (m, 3 H), 1.79-1.95 (m, 3 H), 1.99-2.11 (m, 2 H), 2.31 (m, 1 H), 3.07 (dt, J = 8.5, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H, CHC≡CH), 4.07-4.14 (m, 1 H, CHOSiEt<sub>3</sub>), 4.19-4.26 (m, 1 H, CHOSit-BuPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.21-7.25 (m, 6 H, Ph), 7.73-7.79 (m, 4 H, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  5.37 (u), 7.18 (d), 19.28 (u), 27.14 (d), 37.40 (d), 40.89 (u), 42.33 (u), 42.64 (u), 46.27 (d), 46.95 (d), 69.53 (u), 77.19 (d), 79.76 (d), 87.04 (u), 127.74 (d), 129.66 (d), 129.70 (d), 134.28 (u), 134.47 (u), 136.02 (d); IR (neat):  $\nu$  3308 (m), 3070 (w), 3049 (w), 2955 (s), 2876 (s), 1461 (m), 1427 (m), 1374 (m), 1263 (w), 1239 (m), 1141 (s), 1113 (s), 1035 (s), 1017 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, isobutane) m/z (relative intensity, %): 521 (22), 520 (48), 519 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 100); MS (EI) m/z (relative intensity, %): 489 (M<sup>+</sup> – Et, 7), 463 (4), 462 (11), 461 (28), 331 (13), 329 (15), 315 (11), 314 (29), 313 (100), 302 (11), 301 (39), 285 (11), 253 (39), 234 (10), 233 (49), 199 (25), 135 (14), 131 (43), 91 (16), 87 (11). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> (518.88): C, 74.07; H, 8.94. Found: C, 73.91; H, 8.98.

(+)-(3a'S,4'S,5'R,6a'R)-[4'-Cyano-octahydro-5,5-dimethyl-spiro-[1,3-dioxan-2,2(1'H)-pentalene]]-5-ol (22) and (+)-(3a'S,4'R,5'R,-6a'R)-[4'-Cyano-octahydro-5,5-dimethyl-spiro[1,3-dioxan-2,2'(1'H)pentalen]]-5-ol (21): To a suspension of (R,R)-bis-(phenylethyl)amine hydrochloride (1.2 g, 4.6 mmol) in THF (33 mL) was added n-BuLi (5.4 mL of 1.6 M in hexanes, 8.6 mmol) at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to ambient temperature, whereby a clear yellow solution of the lithium amide 12 was formed. Then the mixture was cooled to -105°C and a solution of ketone 11b (780 mg, 3.5 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added within 10 min. After the stirring of the mixture for 60 min at -105 °C, it was transferred into a -105 °C cold solution of TsCN (1.3 g, 7.2 mmol) in THF (20 mL) by means of a double tipped ended needle. After the mixture was stirred first for 20 min at -105 °C and then for 80 min at -78 °C, EtOH (45 mL) and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (800 mg, 21 mmol) were added. Then, the mixture was gradually warmed to -40°C within 4 h. After the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature, the solvents were removed in vacuo (HCN!). The solid residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the solution was washed with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (first hexanes/EtOAc, 4:1, then hexanes/EtOAc, 1:2) or HPLC (Merck Lichrospher,  $250 \times 20$  mm, EtOAc, RI detector). afforded nitrile 22 (610 mg, 69%) with 92% ee (GC: Chrompack Chirasil-Dex-CB (Beta I–CP), 25 m,  $t_R$  (ent-22) = 32.01 min,  $t_{\rm R}$  (22) = 32.33 min) and nitrile 21 (169 mg, 19%) as colorless solids. Nitrile 21 was recrystallized from EtOAc (90%).

**Epimerization of Nitrile 21:** A solution of nitrile **21** (2.0 g, 7.9 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of freshly prepared LDA (22 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at -78 °C. After the mixture

was stirred for 60 min at this temperature, aqueous NaCl (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature. The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo to give a mixture of nitriles **21**, **22**, and **26** in a ratio of 4.5:4.5:1 (GC: CP–Sil 8). HPLC (Merck Lichrospher,  $250 \times 20$  mm, EtOAc, RI detector) afforded nitrile **22** (740 mg, 37%) with  $\geq$  99% ee (GC), nitrile **21** (720 mg, 36%) and nitrile **26** (93 mg, 5%).

**22:** mp 95 °C;  $R_f 0.73$  (EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D +33.2$  (*c* 2.65, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $C_3D_5N$ ):  $\delta$  0.89 (s, 3 H), 0.91 (s, 3 H), 1.78 (m, 1 H), 2.00 (m, 2 H), 2.05–2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.31 (m, 1 H), 2.55 (m, 1 H), 2.75 (m, 1 H), 3.05 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHCN) 3.39 (s, 2 H), 3.43 (s, 2 H), 4.46 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 7.58 (brs, 1 H, OH);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $C_5D_5N$ ):  $\delta$  = 22.36 (d), 22.38 (d), 29.95 (u), 36.18 (d), 38.19 (u), 39.60 (u), 41.52 (u), 43.10 (d), 43.84 (d), 71.37 (u), 72.09 (u), 76.46 (d), 109.65 (u), 122.82 (u); IR (KBr):  $\nu$  3450 (s), 2958 (s), 2931 (s), 2911 (s), 2872 (s), 2241 (s), 1481 (s), 1451 (m), 1423 (s), 1397 (m), 1365 (m), 1355 (m), 1336 (m), 1318 (m), 1289 (m), 1265(s), 1247 (s), 1213 (m), 1185 (m), 1176 (m), 1141 (s), 1124 (s), 1106 (s), 1058 (s), 1050 (s), 1018 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI, 70 eV) *m*/*z* (relative intensity %): 252 (7), 251 (M<sup>+</sup>, 47), 183 (59), 167 (20), 166 (24), 141 (10), 128 (100), 97 (10), 94 (15). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{21}NO_3$  (251.32): C, 66.91; H, 8.42; N, 5.57. Found: C, 66.64; H, 8.36; N 5.46.

**21:** Mp 150 °C; R<sub>f</sub> 0.63 (EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D$  +11.1 (*c* 3.1, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  0.87 (s, 3 H), 0.95 (s, 3 H), 1.93 (m, 2 H), 2.19 (m, 1 H), 2.50–2.75 (m, 4 H), 2.89 (m, 1 H), 3.16 (t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, CHCN), 3.40–3.55 (m, 4 H), 4.63 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 7.23 (brs, 1 H, OH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 22.32 (d), 22.56 (d), 30.06 (u), 37.38 (u), 38.90 (d), 40.42 (u), 40.80 (d), 41.87 (d), 41.91 (u), 70.90 (u), 72.88 (u), 75.57 (d), 109.39 (u), 120.36 (u).

**26:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.90 (s, 3 H), 1.03 (s, 3 H), 1.64 (m, 1 H), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 2.23–2.40 (m, 3 H), 2.73–2.93 (m, 2 H), 3.35–3.54 (m, 5 H), 6.50 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, CH=CCN); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 22.29 (d), 22.54 (d), 30.01 (u), 35.83 (u), 37.42 (d), 40.36 (u), 42.27 (u), 49.40 (d), 71.92 (u), 72.25 (u), 108.33 (u), 116.50 (u), 118.01 (u), 146.99 (d).

(3aS,4R,5R,6aR)-5-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silyloxy)-[octahydro-5,5dimethyl-spiro[1,3-dioxan-2,2'(1'H)-pentalen]]-4-carbaldehyde (25): To a solution of nitrile 23 (1.0 g, 2.7 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added DIBALH (1 M in hexanes, 4.0 mmol, 4 mL) at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78 °C, it was warmed to ambient temperature and a 1:1 mixture of EtOH/H2O (4 mL) was added. Then the mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and treated with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (50 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography afforded aldehyde 25 (860 mg, 85%) as a colorless oil: R<sub>f</sub> 0.62 (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D<sub>8</sub>]-THF): δ 0.03 (s, 3 H), 0.05 (s, 3 H), 0.87 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 0.90 (s, 3 H), 0.93 (s, 3 H), 1.56 (m, 1 H), 1.84 (m, 2 H), 2.07 (m, 3 H), 2.44 (m, 1 H), 2.60 (m, 2 H), 3.41 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.44 (s, 2 H), 4.29 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 9.62 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, CHO); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, [D<sub>8</sub>]-THF):  $\delta$  -4.86 (d), -4.50 (d), 18.31 (u), 22.56 (d), 22.65 (d), 25.97 (d), 30.36 (u), 37.14 (d), 38.83 (d), 39.03 (u), 40.59 (u), 42.39 (u), 66.88 (d), 72.08 (u), 72.16 (u), 75.79 (d), 110.11 (u), 201.74 (d).

(-)-(3a'S,4'S,5'R,6a'R)-5'-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-[4'-(2-(( $R_5,E$ )-N-methyl-S-phenyl-sulfonimidoyl)-ethenyl)-octahydro-5,5-dimethyl-spiro[1,3-dioxan-2,2'(1'H)-pentalene]] (29): To a solution of (R)-N,S-dimethyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (169 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 0.69 mL, 1.1 mmol) at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, it was warmed to ambient temperature over a period of 30 min to ensure complete formation of salt 27. Subsequently the mixture was cooled to -78 °C and a solution of aldehyde 25 (368 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h at -78 °C, it was warmed to ambient temperature over a period of 12 h. Then aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) was added, and the aqueous phase was separated and extracted with EtOAc (50 mL). The combined

organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo to give alcohol 28 as a 3:2 mixture of diastereomers. Alcohol 28 was dissolved in THF (20 mL), and n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 0.68 mL, 1.1 mmol) was added at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78°C, NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.3 mL, 2.1 mmol) and MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl (0.12 mL, 1.5 mmol) were added. Then the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 3 h. Subsequently, DBU (0.3 mL, 2 mmol) was added, and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 12 h at ambient temperature, it was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and washed successively with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 10% aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and water. The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:2) afforded the alkenyl sulfoximine 29 (495 mg, 95%) as a colorless solid. Mp 88°C, R<sub>f</sub> 0.3 (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:1); [α]<sub>D</sub> -4.5 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  -0.28 (s, 3 H, SiMe), -0.12 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.65 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 0.91 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (s, 3 H), 1.44 (m, 1 H) 1.83 (m, 2 H), 2.09 (m, 3 H), 2.33 (m, 1 H), 2.45 (m, 2 H), 2.72 (s, 3 H, SO<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.45 (m, 4 H), 3.76 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 6.52 (d, J = 14.8Hz, 1 H, HC=CHS), 6.76 (dd, J = 14.8, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, HC=CHS), 7.55 (m, 3 H), 7.88 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  -5.15 (d), -4.68 (d), 17.17 (u), 22.36 (d), 22.56 (d), 25.52 (d), 29.38 (d), 29.98 (u), 35.34 (d), 37.08 (u), 41.05 (u), 41.69 (u), 42.77 (d), 56.69 (d), 71.78 (u), 71.96 (u), 77.92 (d), 109.65 (u), 128.58 (d), 129.07 (d), 130.42 (d), 132.26 (d), 138.87 (u), 147.96 (d); IR (KBr): v 3060 (w), 2954 (s), 2891 (s), 2856 (s), 2801 (m), 1634 (m), 1472 (s), 1446 (m), 1392 (m), 1360 (w), 1360 (w), 1329 (m), 1313 (w), 1250 (s), 1184 (w), 1116 (s), 1080 (s), 1063 (m), 1038 (m), 1014 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI, 70 eV) m/z (%): 519 (M<sup>+</sup>, 5), 464 (13), 463 (33), 462 (100), 221 (11), 212 (14). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>45</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SSi (519.81): C, 64.70; H, 8.73; N 2.69. Found: C, 64.38; H, 8.80; N 2.62.

(-)-(3aS,4S,5R,6aR)-5-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silyloxy)-[4-ethynyloctahydro-5,5-dimethyl-spiro[1,3-dioxan-2,2'(1'H)-pentalene] (10b): The alkenyl sulfoximine 29 (520 mg, 1 mmol) and Me<sub>3</sub>OBF<sub>4</sub> (192 mg, 1.3 mmol) were placed in a Schlenk flask. The flask was evacuated and refilled with dry argon (3 times). Then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 60 min. Subsequently, water (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. Then, the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. The thus obtained salt **30** was dried in vacuo  $(10^{-3} \text{ mbar})$  for 3 h and subsequently dissolved in THF (50 mL). The solution was cooled to -78 °C and treated with LiN(H)t-Bu, which was freshly prepared from t-BuNH<sub>2</sub> (0.21 mL, 2 mmol) and n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 1.25 mL, 2 mmol) in THF (2 mL). After the mixture was stirred first for 30 min at -78 °C and then for 15 h at ambient temperature, aqueous NaHCO3 (25 mL) was added and stirring was continued for 10 min. The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 9:1) gave alkyne 10b (320 mg, 89%) with 92% ee (GC: Macherey-Nagel Lipodex-C, 25 m,  $t_R$  (*ent*-10b) = 193.07 min,  $t_R$  (10b) = 195.30 min) as a colorless oil.  $R_f$  0.59 (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1);  $[\alpha]_D$  -1.5 (c 5.4, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  0.12 (s, 3 H), 0.19 (s, 3 H), 0.64 (s, 3 H), 0.82 (s, 3 H), 1.01 (s, 9 H), 1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.80 (dd, J = 5.0, J = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.95 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H, C≡CH), 1.96− 2.07 (m, 4 H), 2.28 (m, 1 H), 2.50 (m, 1 H), 2.68 (dt, J = 2.5, J = 8.8 Hz 1 H, CHC=CH), 3.09-3.17 (m, 2 H), 3.22 (s, 2 H), 4.02 (m, 1 H, CHOSi); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  -4.53 (d), -4.27 (d), 18.30 (u), 22.26 (d), 22.56 (d), 26.04 (d), 29.80 (u), 35.70 (d), 37.58 (u), 41.30 (u), 41.67 (u), 45.35 (d), 45.51(d), 69.79 (u), 71.50 (u), 71.92 (u), 79.60 (d), 86.72 (u), 109.74 (u); IR (neat):  $\nu$  3311 (s), 2955 (s), 2886 (s), 2857 (s), 2738 (s), 2708 (s), 2280 (w), 2115 (w), 1472 (s), 1464 (s), 1435 (m), 1395 (s), 1362 (s), 1352 (s), 1330 (s), 1312 (m), 1284 (m), 1256 (s), 1221 (s), 1210 (m), 1190 (m), 1171 (s), 1118 (s), 1049 (s), 1019 (s), 1007 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI, 70 eV) m/z (relative intensity, %): 364 (M<sup>+</sup>, 6), 308 (19), 307 (80), 222 (18), 221 (100), 203 (46), 179 (18), 178 (89), 128 (15), 105 (12). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{21}H_{36}O_3Si$  (364.59): C, 69.18; H, 9.95. Found: C, 69.11; H, 9.97.

**HPLC Separation of** *rac-***9a**: Preparative HPLC (Ciralcel AD, 250 × 50 mm, *i*-PrOH/hexanes 5:95, UV: 254 nm, flow 30 mL/min, 750 mg of racemate per injection) of *rac-***9a** (4.2 g) gave **9a** (2.0 g, 47%) and *ent-***9a** (2.0 g, 47%) each with  $\geq$  99% ee (GC). **9a**:  $[\alpha]_D$  +15.5 (*c* 4.5, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); *ent-***9a**:  $[\alpha]_D$  -15.5 (*c* 4.5, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

(1S,2R,3aR,5S,6aS)-1-[5-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-2-(triethylsilanyloxy)-octahydro-pentalen-1-yl]-(4S)-methyl-nona-1,6-diyn-3one (8a): To a solution of alkyne 10a (1.0 g, 1.9 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 1.2 mL, 1.9 mmol) at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to ambient temperature for 10 min, cooled to -78 °C and then transferred to a -19 °C cold stirred solution of amide 9a (560 mg, 3.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL) by means of a doubletipped ended needle. After the mixture was stirred for 3 h at -19 °C, saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (2 mL) and water (5 mL) were added. Subsequently, the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature, and the aqueous phase was separated and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 30$  mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/ Et<sub>2</sub>O, 20: 1) afforded ketone 8a (1.13 g, 92%) with 94% de (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Me) 0.960, δ (CHMe) 1.266 (8a); δ (CH<sub>2</sub>Me) 0.958, δ (CHMe) 1.275 (8a')) and alkyne **10a** (80 mg, 8%).  $R_f 0.53$  (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1);  $[\alpha]_D - 25.0$ (c 0.92, Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 0.61-0.72 (m, 6 H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 0.96 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.08 (t, J = 7.9Hz, 9 H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.17 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.27 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.46-1.61 (m, 3 H), 1.79-1.89 (m, 2 H), 1.94-2.06 (m, 4 H), 2.19–2.27 (m, 1 H), 2.38–2.44 (m, 1 H), 2.61–2.69 (m, 2 H), 3.21 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, COSiEt<sub>3</sub>-CHC=C), 4.02-4.08 (m, 1 H, CHOSiEt<sub>3</sub>), 4.20-4.25 (m, 1 H, CHOSit-BuPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.23-7.27 (m, 6 H), 7.73-7.77 (m, 4 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 5.29 (u), 7.13 (d), 12.72 (u), 14.39 (d), 15.59 (d), 19.28 (u), 22.50 (u), 27.18 (d), 37.69 (d), 40.67 (u), 42.22 (u), 42.95 (u), 46.56 (d), 46.66 (d), 48.30 (d), 76.81 (u), 77.35 (d), 79.38 (d), 81.21 (u), 83.66 (u), 96.78 (u), 128.00 (d), 129.97 (d), 130.03 (d), 134.35 (u), 134.46 (u), 136.22 (d), 188.59 (u); IR (neat): v 3070 (w), 3048 (w), 2956 (s), 2876 (s), 2203 (s), 1674 (s), 1459 (m), 1427 (m), 1374 (m), 1321 (w), 1264 (w), 1239 (m), 1175 (m), 1140 (s), 1113 (s), 1064 (m), 1019 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI, 70 eV) m/z (relative intensity, %): 640 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.7), 612 (24), 611 (48), 593 (11), 585 (19), 584 (52), 583 (100), 506 (10), 505 (22), 451 (13), 451 (14), 427 (14), 365 (16), 355 (54), 337 (24), 313 (21), 254 (11), 253 (48), 199 (41), 197 (20), 195 (12), 183 (17), 181 (12), 135 (22), 129 (10), 115 (11), 87 (25). Anal. Calcd for C40H56O3Si2 (641.04): C, 74.94; H, 8.81. Found: C, 75.04; H, 9.14.

(+)-(1S,2R,3aR,5S,6aS)-1-[5-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-2-hydroxy-octa-hydro-pentalen-1-yl]-4(S)-methyl-nona-1,6-diyn-3(S)-ol (39): To a solution of ketone 8a (750 mg, 1.16 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>- $Cl_2$  (15 mL) was added oxazaborolidine **37** (0.86 M solution in  $C_6D_6$ ) 0.3 mL, 0.25 mmol). The mixture was cooled to -78 °C, and catecholborane (0.5 mL, 4.7 mmol, diluted with 0.5 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) was added within 5 min. After the mixture was stirred for 16 h at -78 °C, EtOH (1 mL) was added, and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography first with hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1:1, afforded the silyl ether **38** (140 mg, 18%) and then with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH, 1:1, gave diol 39 admixed with catechol. The mixture of 39 and catechol was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and the solution was washed with NaOH (2 N, 50 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1:1) afforded diol 39 (480 mg, 77%) as a colorless oil. The silyl ether  $\mathbf{38}$  was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and diluted aqueous HCl (0.5 mL) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature, it was neutralized with NaOH and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1:1) afforded diol 39 (99 mg, 16%) as a colorless oil. The total yield of diol **39** was 93%.  $R_f 0.64$  (EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D + 9.2$  (c 0.8, THF); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>Me); 1.19 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu); 1.29 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CHMe); 1.55–1.64 (m, 2 H); 1.66–1.88 (m, 3 H), 1.94–2.14 (m, 4 H), 2.16–2.30 (m, 2 H), 2.34–2.55 (m, 2 H), 3.04 (dt, J = 9.1, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CHMe); 3.75 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H, C=CCHOH), 3.97 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, CHOH-CHC=CCHOH), 4.00–4.15 (m, 1 H, CHOH–CHC=C), 4.17–4.26 (m, 1 H, CHOS*it*-BuPh<sub>2</sub>), 4.54 (m, 1H, C=CCHOH), 7.22–7.32 (m, 6 H), 7.74–7.80 (m, 4 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.80 (u), 14.53 (d), 15.49 (d), 19.29 (u), 22.70 (u), 27.22 (d), 37.08 (d), 40.11 (d), 40.65 (u), 41.24 (u), 42.58 (u), 46.52 (d), 66.26 (d), 77.46 (d), 78.01 (u), 79.45 (d), 81.62 (u), 83.27 (u), 88.57 (u), 128.00 (d), 129.90 (d), 129.94 (d), 134.49 (u), 134.54 (u), 136.20 (d); MS (CI, isobutane) *m*/*z* (relative intensity, %): 529 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 3), 511 (3); 493 (13); 405 (10), 125 (100). HRMS calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (M<sup>+</sup> – *t*-Bu) 471.23554, found 471.23568.

(+)-(3aS,4S,5R,6aS)-5-Hydroxy-4-((3S)-hydroxy-4(S)-methylnona-1,6-diynyl)-hexahydro-pentalen-2-on (7a): To a solution of diester 41 (170 mg, 0.34 mmol) in MeOH (2.5 mL) was added aqueous NaOH (1 M, 1 mL, 1.0 mmol). After the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h, TLC showed a complete conversion of the diester. The mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and washed with NaOH (1 M, 5 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexanes/ MeOH, 20:10:1) afforded diol 7a (90 mg, 90%) as a colorless oil. R<sub>f</sub> 0.5 (EtOAc); [α]<sub>D</sub> +38.0 (*c* 1.75, Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 1.02 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.29 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.36–1.44 (m, 1 H), 1.93–2.53 (m, 13 H), 3.42 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, C=CCHOH), 3.52 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H, CHOH-CHC=C), 4.12-4.17 (m, 1 H, CHOH-CHC≡C), 4.54 (m, 1 H, C≡CCHOH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.79 (u), 14.52 (d), 15.46 (d), 22.72 (u), 35.64 (d), 40.09 (d), 41.20 (u), 43.34 (u), 44.88 (d), 45.44 (u), 46.29 (d), 65.99 (d), 77.70 (u), 79.06 (d), 82.50 (u), 83.41 (u), 86.87 (u), 218.52 (u). IR (neat) v 3397 (s), 2969 (s), 2933 (s), 1732 (s), 1455 (m), 1403 (m), 1322 (w), 1284 (w), 1249 (w), 1171 (w), 1117 (w), 1091 (w), 1023 (m); MS (CI, isobutane) m/z (relative intensity, %): 289 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 10), 273 (9), 272 (21), 271 (100), 253 (19). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (288.38): C, 74.97; H, 8.39. Found: C, 74.84; H, 8.76.

(-)-(3a'S,4'S,5'R,6a'R)-5'-[(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)]-[(4'-(3-oxo-4S-methyl-nona-1,6-diynyl)-octahydro-5,5-dimethyl-spiro-[1,3-dioxan-2,2'(1'H)-pentalene]] (8b): To a solution of alkyne 10b (1.5 g, 4.1 mmol) (92% ee) in THF (20 mL) was added n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 2.55 mL, 4.1 mmol) at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to ambient temperature for 10 min and then cooled to -78 °C. Then, the mixture was added to a -19 °C cold solution of amide 9a (890 mg, 4.8 mmol,  $\geq$  99% ee) in THF (10 mL) by means of a double tipped ended needle. After the mixture was stirred for 40 min at -19 °C, aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature. Water was added until two clear phases were formed. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1) afforded ketone **8b** (1.8 g, 90%) with 92% de (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Me)  $0.960, \delta$  (CHMe) 1.266 (**8b**);  $\delta$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Me)  $0.958, \delta$  (CHMe) 1.275 (**8b**') and alkyne 10b (120 mg, 0.24 mmol, 8%) both as colorless oils.  $R_f$ 0.59 (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1);  $[\alpha]_D$  –2.2 (c 2.3, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  0.09 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.16 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.68 (s, 3 H), 0.80 (s, 3 H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 0.98 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 1.26 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.44–1.54 (m, 1 H), 1.80 (dd, J = 13.4, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.90-2.01 (m, 6 H), 2.18-2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.36-2.46 (m, 2 H), 2.59-2.71 (m, 2 H), 2.79 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, CH-C=CCO), 3.13 (m, 2 H), 3.22 (s, 2 H), 3.98 (m, 1 H, CHOSi);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  -4.53 (d), -4.48 (d), 12.67 (u), 14.35 (d), 15.57 (d), 18.17 (u), 22.28 (d), 22.44 (d), 22.48 (u), 25.95 (d), 29.83 (u), 35.85 (d), 37.78 (u), 40.73 (u), 41.87 (u), 44.97 (d), 45.59 (d), 48.18 (d), 71.47 (u), 72.03 (u), 76.61 (u), 79.08 (d), 81.23 (u), 83.57 (u), 96.07 (u), 109.62 (u), 188.22 (u). IR (neat):  $\nu$  2954 (s), 2857 (s), 2204 (s), 1674 (s), 1472 (m), 1462 (m), 1434 (m), 1394 (m), 1376 (m), 1361 (m), 1351 (m), 1330 (m), 1253 (s), 1221 (m), 1175 (m), 1139 (s), 1118 (s), 1048 (m), 1020 (m), 1001 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, isobutane) m/z (relative intensity, %): 488 (36), 487 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 100), 429 (13), 355 (21). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si (486.76): C, 71.56; H, 9.53. Found: C, 71.75; H, 9.75.

(-)-(3a'S,4'S,5'R,6a'R)-5'-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-[(4'-(3S-hydroxy-4S-methyl-nona-1,6-diynyl)-octahydro-5,5-dimethylspiro[1,3-dioxan-2,2'(1' H)-pentalene]] (42): To a solution of ketone 8b (1.57 g, 3.23 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added oxazaborolidine 37 (0.86 M solution in  $C_6D_6$ , 0.35 mL, 0.3 mmol). The mixture was cooled to -78 °C and a solution of catecholborane (0.95 mL, 8.9 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) was added within 60 min by means of a syringe pump. After the mixture was stirred for 4.5 h at -78 °C, MeOH (15 mL) was added at this temperature and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and kept at this temperature for 12 h. Concentration in vacuo and purification by column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1) gave alcohol 42 (1.55 g, 98%) with 92% de (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) 1.24 (42);  $\delta$  (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) 1.17 (42')) colorless oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD 250 × 20 mm, EtOH/hexanes 1:99, RI-detector, flow 6 mL/min, 40 mg per injection) afforded alcohol 42 (1.39 g, 90%) with  $\geq$  99% de.  $R_f 0.43$  (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1);  $[\alpha]_D + 20.6$  (c 1.26, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 0.13 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.19 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.69 (s, 3 H), 0.82 (s, 3 H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.02 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 1.24 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH*Me*), 1.50–1.60 (m, 1 H), 1.83 (dd, *J* = 13.1, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1 H, C=CCHO*H*), 1.95-2.18 (m, 7 H), 2.30-2.55 (m, 4 H), 2.75 (dt, J = 8.8, J = 1.5Hz, 1 H, CH-C=C), 3.14-3.22 (m, 2 H), 3.26 (s, 2 H), 4.02 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 4.46 (dt, J = 6.0, J = 1.6 Hz,1 H, C=CCHOH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  -4.43 (d), -4.31 (d), 12.74 (u), 14.49 (d), 15.49 (d), 18.29 (u), 22.32 (d), 22.53 (d), 22.58 (u), 26.05 (d), 29.85 (u), 35.94 (d), 38.18 (u), 39.98 (d), 41.04 (u), 41.72 (u), 45.69 (d), 45.79 (d), 66.14 (d), 71.65 (u), 71.94 (u), 77.78 (u), 79.76 (d), 81.65 (u), 83.12 (u), 88.33 (u), 109.88 (u); IR (neat): v 3452 (m), 2954 (s), 2857 (s), 1470 (m), 1435 (w), 1393 (w), 1377 (w), 1362 (w), 1329 (m), 1254 (s), 1118 (s), 1019 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, isobutane) m/z (relative intensity, %): 491 (13), 490 (14), 489 ( $M^+$  + H, 100), 487 (12), 473 (18), 472 (29), 471 (84), 369 (17), 341 (12), 340 (16), 339 (71). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si (488.77): C, 71.26; H, 9.90. Found: C, 71.14; H, 10.09.

(-)-(E,3aS,4S,5R,6aS)-{5-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-4[(3S)tert-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-4S-methyl-nona-1,6-diynyl]-hexahydropentalen-2-ylidene}acetic acid-(2R-(1-methyl-1-phenylethyl)-1Scyclohexyl ester (E-6): To a solution of (1S,2R)-dimethoxyphosphanyl-2-(1-methyl-1-phenylethyl)-cyclohexyl acetate (2.9 g, 7.87 mmol) in THF (12 mL) was added n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 4.5 mL, 7.2 mmol) at -78 °C. The solution of 43 thus formed was warmed to ambient temperature for 15 min and cooled to -62 °C. Then a solution of ketone 7b (910 mg, 1.76 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added within 10 min. Subsequently the mixture was stirred first for 144 h at -62 °C and then for 15 h at -30 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature, it was treated with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (30 mL), and the aqueous phase was separated and diluted with water until a clear solution was formed. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4  $\times$  40 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 10: 1) afforded a mixture of esters E-6 and Z-6 (1.32 g, 99%) in a ratio of 95:5 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  (C=CCHOSi) 4.57,  $\delta$  (CHOCO) 5.31 (*E*-6);  $\delta$  (C= CCHOSi) 4.51,  $\delta$  (CHOCO) 5.40 (Z-6)) as a colorless oil. HPLC (Chiralcel OD, 250 × 20 mm, hexanes/0.15% *i*-PrOH, UV: 254 nm) gave ester *E*-6 with  $\geq$  99% de in 90% yield. R<sub>f</sub> 0.52 (hexanes/EtOAc, 10:1);  $[\alpha]_D = -16.7$  (c 0.72, Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  0.10 (s, 3 H), 0.18 (s, 3 H), 0.22 (s, 3 H), 0.30 (s, 3 H), 0.80-1.58 (m, 12 H) 1.00 (s, 9 H), 1.05 (s, 9 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.37 (s, 3 H), 2.00-2.55 (m, 13 H), 2.80-3.10 (m, 2 H), 4.00 (m, 1 H, CHOSi-CHC=C), 4.57 (dd, J = 6.3, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H, C= CCHOSi), 5.00 (m, 1 H,C=CH), 5.31 (m, 1 H, CHOCO), 7.16-7.31 (m, 5 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  –4.86 (d), -4.45 (d), 4.38 (d), 3.99 (d), 12.78 (u), 14.56 (d), 15.56 (d), 18.21 (u), 18.45 (u), 22.51 (u), 24.93 (u), 25.49 (d), 26.02 (d), 26.06 (d), 26.24 (u), 27.31 (u), 27.82 (d), 34.07 (u), 38.92 (u), 39.33 (d), 40.03 (u), 40.48 (u), 40.79 (d), 42.39 (u), 45.68 (d), 46.90 (d), 51.38 (d), 66.85 (d), 73.39 (d), 77.72 (u), 79.42 (d), 82.08 (u), 83.15 (u), 87.29 (u), 114.27 (d), 124.98 (d), 125.66 (d), 128.13 (d), 151.64 (u), 164.89 (u), 165.09 (u); IR (neat):  $\nu$  3021 (w), 2931 (s), 2857 (s), 1708 (s), 1659 (m), 1496 (w), 1468 (m), 1374 (m), 1323 (w), 1253 (s), 1213 (s), 1188 (m), 1128 (s), 1074 (s), 1030 (m), 1006 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, isobutane) *m/z* (relative intensity, %): 761 (12), 760 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 22), 759 (37), 629 (25), 628 (34), 627 (74), 497 (12), 495 (11), 428 (11), 428 (27), 427 (88), 295 (26), 202 (11), 201 (72), 200 (15), 199 (51), 175 (12), 134 (12), 133 (100), 123 (56), 119 (56), 105 (10). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>74</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> (759.26): C, 74.35; H, 9.82. Found: C, 74.36; H, 9.91.

(+)-[(2E)-2-[(3aS,4S,5R,6aS)-Hexahydro-5-hydroxy-4-[(3S,4S)-3hydroxy-4-methyl-1,6-nonadiynyl]-2(1H)-pentalenylidene]ethoxyacetic acid (4): To a solution of ester 45 (220 mg, 0.15 mmol) in MeOH (8 mL) was added 1 N NaOH (3 mL, 3 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 5 h at ambient temperature. Then water (20 mL) was added to the mixture and its pH value was adjusted first to 5 through addition of solid NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and then to 2 through addition of aqueous 1 N HCl. The solution was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (6  $\times$  30 mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Drying of the residue in vacuo  $(10^{-3} \text{ mbar})$  afforded cicaprost (4) (180 mg, 94%) with  $\geq$  99% de as a colorless oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +92.0 (*c* 4.15, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  1.07 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.14-1.23 (m, 1 H), 1.81-1.90 (m, 1 H, CHMe), 2.10-2.56 (m, 12 H), 3.92-4.00 (m, 1 H, CHOH−CHC≡C), 4.02−4.16 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.36 (dd, *J* = 6.4, J = 1.7 Hz, 1 H, C=CCHOH), 5.50 (m, 1 H, C=CH), 6.00-6.15 (brs, 3 H, 3 × OH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  12.75 (u), 14.58 (d), 15.19 (d), 22.46 (u), 35.88 (u), 37.84 (d), 39.07 (u), 39.79 (d), 41.08 (u), 44.99 (d), 46.38 (d), 66.17 (d), 66.55 (u), 68.89 (u), 77.42 (u), 78.16 (d), 81.11 (u), 83.44 (u), 87.62 (u), 117.92 (d), 148.03 (u), 173.47 (u); IR (neat): v 3398 (s), 2966 (s), 2934 (s), 2245 (w), 1734 (s), 1455 (m), 1431 (m), 1375 (w), 1322 (w), 1245 (m), 1213 (m), 1119 (s), 1095 (s), 1018 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z (relative intensity, %): 392 (M<sup>+</sup> + NH<sub>4</sub>, 5), 318 (15), 317 (22), 316 (100), 314 (21), 299 (12), 281 (10).

(3aS,5R,6S,6aS)-{5-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-6-[(3S,4S)-3tert-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-4-methyl-nona-1,6-diynyl]-1,3a,4,5,6,6ahexahydropentalen-2-yl}-acetic acid-[(2R,1S)-2-(1-methyl-1-phenylethyl)-1-cyclohexyl ester] (47): To a suspension of (R,R)-bis-(phenylethyl)amine hydrochloride (350 mg, 1.33 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 1.7 mL, 2.7 mmol) at -78 °C. The suspension was warmed to ambient temperature whereby a clear yellow solution of 12 was formed. Then the solution was cooled to -105 °C and a solution of ester *E*-6 (500 mg, 0.65 mmol) ( $\geq 99\%$ de) in THF (5 mL) was added slowly along the cold inner side of the flask. After the mixture was stirred for 15 min at -105 °C, it was warmed to -78 °C within 30 min, and stirring was continued for 60 min. Then aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added at this temperature. The mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) was added. Subsequently water was added until two clear phases were formed. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with Et2O (20 mL) and pentanes (20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1) provided ester 47 (490 mg, 98%) with  $\geq$  99% de (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) as a colorless oil. R<sub>f</sub> 0.52 (hexanes/EtOAc, 10: 1), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  0.11 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.20 (s), 0.205 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.29 (s, 3 H, SiMe)), 0.75-1.56 (m, 12 H) 1.00 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.03 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.92-2.10 (m, 5 H), 2.26 (d, 1 H) 2.38-2.64 (m, 6 H), 2.79 (m, 1 H), 3.93 (m, 1 H), 4.53 (dd, *J* = 6.3, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, C=CCHOSi), 4.90 (m, 1 H), 5.25 (m, 1 H), 7.05 (m, 1 H), 7.187.23 (m, 4 H), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  –4.88 (d), –4.34 (d), –4.29 (d), –3.96 (d), 12.77 (u), 14.57 (d), 15.60 (d), 18.23 (u), 18.44 (u), 22.44 (u), 24.86 (u), 25.23 (d), 26.05 (d), 26.07 (d), 26.14 (u), 27.20 (u), 27.99 (d), 33.79 (u), 36.93 (u), 39.91 (u), 40.08 (u), 40.48 (u), 40.74 (d), 45.85 (d), 46.16 (d), 51.01 (d), 66.87 (d), 74.40 (d), 77.80 (u), 78.13 (d), 81.71 (u), 83.00 (u), 87.49 (u), 125.11 (d), 125.56 (d), 127.69 (d), 131.91 (d), 134.29 (u), 151.62 (u), 169.28 (u); IR (neat):  $\nu$  3056 (w), 3032 (w), 2930 (s), 2884 (s), 2856 (s), 2279 (w), 1730 (s), 1496 (w), 1471 (m), 1462 (m), 1449 (m), 1374 (w), 1361 (w), 1339 (w), 1323 (w), 1252 (s), 1120 (s), 1074 (s), 1025 (m), 1005 (m), 939 (w), 905 (m), 838 (s), 815 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, isobutane) *m/z* (relative intensity, %): 760 (M<sup>+</sup> + H, 11), 759 (17), 427 (11), 202 (17), 201 (100), 119 (33), 105 (17).

Acknowledgment. Financial support of this work by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 380 "Asymmetric Synthesis with Chemical and Biological Methods" and GK 440 "Methods in Asymmetric Synthesis") and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. L. R. Reddy for his help with the synthesis and elimination of salt **30**, Dr. G. Raabe for the crystal structure analysis, Prof.

Dr. C. Bolm and H. G. Döteberg, Grünenthal, Aachen, for allowing us to use their preparative Chiralcel AD and OD HPLC columns, J. Lausberg and S. Reumkens, Grünenthal, Aachen, for the recording of the high resolution ESI/TOF mass spectra, Dr. H. Dahl, Schering AG, Berlin, for a most generous gift of bicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2,5-dione, and Dr. B. Buchmann, Schering AG, Berlin, for copies of NMR spectra and MS data of cicaprost and alcohol **42**, and Degussa AG, Düsseldorf, for D-phenylalanine.

**Supporting Information Available:** Schemes S10, S11, S12 and S13 referred to in the text, copies of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compounds **4**, **7a**, **16**, **23**, **24**, **26**, **29**, **36**, **39**, **40**, **41**, *E*-**44**, **47**, and **48**, data of the crystal structure analysis of **21**, and procedures for the synthesis and analytical data of compounds **7b**, **9a**, *rac*-**9a**, **14**, **17**, **18**, **23**, **33**, **34**, *rac*-**34**, **36**, **38**, **40**, **41**, *E*-**44**, **45**, and **48** (66 pages, print/PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. JA030200L